

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,639	12/20/2004	Nathalie Feyt	1032326-000288	4953	
21839 BUCHANAN.	7590 05/13/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	SU, SARAH			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2431			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,639	FEYT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sarah Su	2431		

	Saran Su	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)  The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date the han o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application in bett application.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / tinonamont (	TOL OLT,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431	/Sarah Su/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has found the applicant's arguments to be non-persuasive, and the examiner maintains the grounds of rejection.

It is argued by the applicant that Futa does not disclose that the pairs of prime numbers are stored independent of knowledge of the pair of values (e,I), in which e is the public exponent and I is the length of the key. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is noted that the applicant admits that Futa discloses that two prime numbers are multiplied together to create an integer n. However, Futa discloses that the two primes are generated by a process including acquiring a known prime q and n number of known primes (col. 6, lines 26-28). In this instance, Futa has used n to represent the number of known primes, which is a different n from the modulus n calculated by multiplying two primes. Therefore, since the modulus is calculated from the prime numbers and vice versa, the calculation of the prime numbers is done without knowledge of the public key, as also previously discussed in the final office action of 24 December 2008.